

Exercises: Lesson 3.4 – DeFi, Stablecoins, and Tokenomics
Module 3: The Trust Problem

Prof. Dr. Joerg Osterrieder

Exercise 1: AMM Price Calculations

A constant product AMM pool holds 5,000 ETH and 10,000,000 USDC.

Tasks:

- a. What is the constant k ? What is the current implied price of 1 ETH?
- b. A trader buys 200 ETH from the pool. Calculate the new USDC reserve, the amount of USDC the trader pays, and the effective price per ETH.
- c. After the trade in (b), a second trader buys another 200 ETH. Calculate the effective price per ETH for this second trade. Why is it higher than the first trade?
- d. Both traders together bought 400 ETH. If instead a single trader bought all 400 ETH in one transaction, what would the effective price per ETH be? Compare with the average of the two sequential trades.
- e. A pool charges a 0.3% fee on each trade (deducted from the input token before the swap). Recalculate part (b) with the fee included. How much goes to liquidity providers?

Exercise 2: Impermanent Loss Calculation

A liquidity provider deposits 10 ETH and 20,000 USDC into an AMM pool when $\text{ETH} = \$2,000$.

Impermanent loss formula: $IL = \frac{2\sqrt{r}}{1+r} - 1$ where $r = P_{\text{new}}/P_{\text{old}}$.

Tasks:

- a) What is the initial total value of the LP's position?
- b) ETH rises to \$3,000 ($r = 1.5$). Calculate the impermanent loss as a percentage.
- c) Convert the percentage from (b) to a dollar loss. What is the LP's pool value vs. the HODL value?
- d) The pool earned 1.2% in trading fees during this period. Was providing liquidity profitable compared to holding?
- e) ETH drops to \$1,000 ($r = 0.5$). Calculate IL. Is the impermanent loss symmetric for equal-magnitude price moves up and down?
- f) At what annual fee yield does the LP break even if ETH price doubles over one year ($r = 2.0$)?

Exercise 3: DeFi Lending and Liquidation

A borrower deposits 20 ETH at \$2,500/ETH as collateral and borrows 25,000 USDC from a lending protocol. The protocol's parameters are:

- Minimum collateralization ratio: 150%
- Liquidation threshold: 130%
- Liquidation bonus: 5%
- Borrow APR: 4%

Tasks:

- a) What is the initial collateralization ratio?
- b) At what ETH price does the position reach the 150% minimum ratio? At what price is it liquidated (130%)?
- c) ETH drops to \$1,700. A liquidator repays 12,500 USDC of the debt. How much ETH does the liquidator receive (including the 5% bonus)?
- d) After the partial liquidation in (c), what is the borrower's remaining collateral (in ETH), remaining debt, and new collateralization ratio at \$1,700/ETH?
- e) If the borrower had also accumulated 6 months of interest at 4% APR before liquidation, how does the outstanding debt change? Recalculate the liquidation price.

Exercise 4: Flash Loan Arbitrage

An arbitrageur observes that Token X trades at \$9.80 on DEX A and \$10.20 on DEX B. They execute a flash loan to exploit the spread.

Parameters:

- Flash loan amount: 1,000,000 USDC
- Flash loan fee: 0.09%
- DEX A trading fee: 0.3%
- DEX B trading fee: 0.3%
- Gas cost: \$50 per transaction (3 transactions total: borrow, buy, sell/repay)

Tasks:

- Calculate the flash loan fee in USDC.
- The trader buys Token X on DEX A. After the 0.3% trading fee, how much USDC actually goes toward purchasing tokens? How many Token X units are acquired?
- The trader sells all Token X on DEX B. After the 0.3% trading fee, how much USDC is received?
- Calculate total costs (flash loan fee + gas). What is the net profit or loss?
- What is the **minimum** price spread between DEX A and DEX B for this arbitrage to be profitable, given these fee parameters?

Exercise 5: Stablecoin Mechanism Comparison

Consider three stablecoins:

- **Coin F:** Fiat-backed, claims 100% reserves in cash and US Treasuries
- **Coin C:** Crypto-backed, requires 150% ETH collateral, liquidation at 130%
- **Coin A:** Algorithmic, uses a mint/burn mechanism with governance token GOV

Tasks:

- a) Fill in the comparison table:

Dimension	Coin F	Coin C	Coin A
Decentralization			
Capital efficiency			
Primary de-peg risk			
Regulatory risk			

- b) Coin F's quarterly audit reveals only 85% of reserves are in cash/Treasuries; 15% is in commercial paper. Explain the de-peg risk this creates.
- c) ETH crashes 50% in one day. Walk through the chain of events for Coin C. Does it survive?
- d) During a market panic, Coin A drops to \$0.95. The algorithm mints GOV tokens to buy back Coin A. If GOV is also falling, explain the death spiral mathematically.

Exercise 6: Tokenomics Analysis

A new DeFi protocol launches with the following tokenomics:

- Total supply: 1,000,000,000 tokens
- Distribution: Team 20%, Investors 15%, Community rewards 40%, Treasury 15%, Public sale 10%
- Team vesting: 1-year cliff, then linear over 3 years
- Investor vesting: 6-month cliff, then linear over 2 years
- Community rewards: emitted over 5 years with annual halving

Tasks:

- a How many tokens are in circulation at launch (day 0)? Assume only the public sale tokens are immediately liquid.
- b Calculate the circulating supply at month 6, month 12, and month 24. (Hint: handle each vesting schedule separately.)
- c If the token price at launch is \$0.50, what is the fully diluted valuation (FDV)? What is the market cap based on circulating supply?
- d At month 12, the team cliff unlocks. If 50% of team tokens are sold immediately, what sell pressure (in dollars at \$0.50/token) hits the market?
- e The protocol burns 1% of all trading fees. If daily volume is \$10M and the fee is 0.3%, how many tokens are burned annually (at \$0.50/token)?

Exercise 7: DeFi Attack Analysis

Classify each of the following exploits by attack type (reentrancy, oracle manipulation, flash loan, governance). Then propose one defense for each.

- a. An attacker calls a `withdraw()` function that sends ETH before updating the balance. The attacker's contract calls `withdraw()` again in the fallback function, draining \$3.6M.
- b. An attacker borrows \$50M via flash loan, buys a large amount of Token Y on a DEX (moving the price from \$5 to \$12), then uses Token Y as collateral on a lending protocol (which reads the DEX spot price) to borrow \$30M in stablecoins.
- c. An attacker flash-borrows 1M governance tokens, creates and votes on a proposal to transfer the protocol's \$8M treasury to their address, and returns the tokens — all in one transaction.
- d. An attacker monitors the mempool, sees a large buy order on a DEX, and submits a buy order with higher gas to get executed first (sandwich attack). After the victim's trade moves the price up, the attacker sells at the higher price.

Exercise 8: DeFi Risk Assessment

You are advising a university endowment fund considering allocating 2% of its portfolio to DeFi yield farming. The proposed strategy is to provide liquidity to an ETH/USDC AMM pool earning 12% APY in fees plus 8% APY in governance token rewards.

Tasks:

- a List the **five** distinct risk categories the endowment faces (beyond standard market risk). For each, provide a one-sentence description.
- b If ETH moves $\pm 30\%$ over the year, calculate the impermanent loss using the IL formula. Does the 12% fee yield cover it?
- c The 8% reward is paid in the protocol's governance token. If the governance token drops 60% over the year, what is the effective reward in dollar terms?
- d Assuming all risks materialize at moderate severity (ETH -30% , governance token -60% , smart contract exploit probability 5% causing 100% loss), calculate the expected annual return.
- e Would you recommend this allocation? Justify your answer in 3–4 sentences, considering the endowment's risk tolerance and fiduciary duty.

Answer Key (1/3)

Exercise 1:

- a) $k = 5,000 \times 10,000,000 = 5 \times 10^{10}$. Price = $10,000,000/5,000 = \$2,000/\text{ETH}$.
- b) New ETH: 4,800. New USDC: $5 \times 10^{10}/4,800 = 10,416,667$. Trader pays: 416,667 USDC. Effective price: $416,667/200 = \$2,083.33/\text{ETH}$.
- c) New ETH: 4,600. New USDC: $5 \times 10^{10}/4,600 = 10,869,565$. Trader pays: $10,869,565 - 10,416,667 = 452,899$. Effective: $\$2,264.49/\text{ETH}$. Higher because reserves are more depleted (larger slippage).
- d) Single trade of 400: ETH to 4,600, USDC to 10,869,565. Total paid: 869,565. Effective: $\$2,173.91/\text{ETH}$. Average sequential: $(2,083.33 + 2,264.49)/2 = \$2,173.91$. Same total cost (path independent for constant product).
- e) With 0.3% fee: Input after fee = $416,667 \times 0.997 = 415,417$ USDC. The fee = $416,667 \times 0.003 = \$1,250$ goes to LPs. Actual swap uses 415,417 USDC (LP gets slightly less ETH).

Exercise 2:

- a) $10 \times 2,000 + 20,000 = \$40,000$.
- b) $\text{IL} = 2\sqrt{1.5}/(1 + 1.5) - 1 = 2(1.2247)/2.5 - 1 = 0.9798 - 1 = -2.02\%$.
- c) $\text{HODL: } 10 \times 3,000 + 20,000 = \$50,000$. Pool value: $50,000 \times (1 - 0.0202) = \$48,990$. Dollar IL: $\$1,010$.
- d) Fee yield: $40,000 \times 0.012 = \$480$. Net: $480 - 1,010 = -\$530$. No, not profitable.
- e) $r = 0.5$: $\text{IL} = 2\sqrt{0.5}/(1 + 0.5) - 1 = 2(0.7071)/1.5 - 1 = 0.9428 - 1 = -5.72\%$. Not symmetric: $r = 1.5$ gives -2.0% but $r = 0.5$ gives -5.7% .
- f) $r = 2$: $\text{IL} = -5.72\%$. Breakeven fee yield = 5.72% annually.

Answer Key (2/3)

Exercise 3:

- a) Collateral: $20 \times 2,500 = \$50,000$. Ratio: $50,000/25,000 = 200\%$.
- b) At 150%: $20P/25,000 = 1.5 \Rightarrow P = \$1,875$. At 130%: $20P/25,000 = 1.3 \Rightarrow P = \$1,625$.
- c) Liquidator repays 12,500 USDC. Collateral received = $12,500 \times 1.05/1,700 = 7.72$ ETH.
- d) Remaining ETH: $20 - 7.72 = 12.28$ ETH (= \$20,876). Debt: $25,000 - 12,500 = \$12,500$. Ratio: $20,876/12,500 = 167\%$.
- e) Interest: $25,000 \times 0.04 \times 0.5 = \500 . New debt: \$25,500. Liquidation: $20P/25,500 = 1.3 \Rightarrow P = \$1,657.50$.

Exercise 4:

- a) Fee: $1,000,000 \times 0.0009 = \900 .
- b) Net buy amount: $1,000,000 \times 0.997 = 997,000$ USDC. Tokens: $997,000/9.80 = 101,735$ units.
- c) Gross sale: $101,735 \times 10.20 = 1,037,697$. After fee: $1,037,697 \times 0.997 = 1,034,586$ USDC.
- d) Costs: $900 + 150 = \$1,050$. Gross: $1,034,586 - 1,000,000 = 34,586$. Net: $34,586 - 1,050 = \$33,536$.
- e) Need gross > costs. Min spread must cover $\approx 0.7\%$ (two $\times 0.3\%$ DEX fees + 0.09% flash loan + gas). With \$1M, approx spread > 0.70%.

Exercise 5:

- a) Coin F: Low decentralization, high capital eff., reserve fraud risk, high regulatory risk. Coin C: High decentr., low capital eff. (150% locked), collateral crash risk, moderate regulatory risk. Coin A: High decentr., high capital eff., death spiral risk, highest regulatory risk (no reserves).
- b) Holders may doubt redemption at \$1.00 \rightarrow sell below peg \rightarrow arbitrage may not restore confidence if reserves are genuinely insufficient.
- c) 50% ETH crash \rightarrow collateral ratios plunge below 130% \rightarrow mass liquidations sell ETH \rightarrow further price drop \rightarrow cascade. If liquidation mechanisms function and reserves are deep, it survives but with significant bad debt.
- d) At \$0.95, protocol mints X GOV tokens worth $\$0.05 \times S$ to buy S stablecoins. If GOV drops 50%, need $2X$ tokens. If GOV drops further, need $4X$, etc. Exponential minting \rightarrow hyperinflation \rightarrow GOV \rightarrow \$0 \rightarrow stablecoin \rightarrow \$0.

Answer Key (3/3)

Exercise 6:

- a Day 0: 10% public sale = 100,000,000 tokens.
- b Month 6: Public 100M + investor cliff unlocks (15% = 150M, 0 months of linear vesting yet) + community (400M × year-1 emission). Year 1 halving: 50% in Y1 = 200M, so 6/12 = 100M. Total ≈ 350M. Month 12: + team cliff (200M, 0 linear yet) + investor 6 months linear (75M) + community another 100M = 350 + 200 + 75 + 100 = 725M. Month 24: team 12 months of 3-year linear = 66.7M more, investor fully vested = 75M more, community Y2 = 100M (halved) = ≈ 967M.
- c FDV: 1,000,000,000 × 0.50 = \$500M. Market cap: 100,000,000 × 0.50 = \$50M.
- d Team cliff: 200,000,000 × 0.50 × 0.50 = \$50,000,000 sell pressure.
- e Daily burn: 10,000,000 × 0.003 × 0.01 = \$300/day. Annual: \$109,500. Tokens: 109,500/0.50 = 219,000 tokens/year.

Exercise 7:

- a **Reentrancy**. Defense: Checks-Effects-Interactions pattern (update balance before sending ETH) or reentrancy guard mutex.
- b **Oracle manipulation** (flash loan-assisted). Defense: Use TWAP oracle (time-weighted average) instead of spot price.
- c **Governance attack** (flash loan-assisted). Defense: Snapshot-based voting (use token balances from a past block) and time-locked proposal execution.
- d **Front-running / sandwich attack**. Defense: Private mempools (Flashbots), maximum slippage settings, commit-reveal order schemes.

Exercise 8:

- a Smart contract risk, impermanent loss, oracle risk, governance/regulatory risk, liquidity/exit risk.
- b $r = 0.7$: $IL = 2\sqrt{0.7}/1.7 - 1 = -1.46\%$. $r = 1.3$: $IL = 2\sqrt{1.3}/2.3 - 1 = -0.63\%$. Both covered by 12% fee yield.
- c Effective reward: $8\% \times (1 - 0.60) = 3.2\%$.
- d Expected return: $0.95 \times (12\% - 1.5\% + 3.2\%) + 0.05 \times (-100\%) = 0.95 \times 13.7\% - 5\% = 13.0\% - 5.0\% = 8.0\%$.
- e Not recommended. Despite positive expected return, the 5% probability of total loss is unacceptable for a fiduciary. Endowments prioritize capital preservation; a 5% chance of losing the entire allocation violates prudent investor standards regardless of expected value.