

Lesson 4.3 Exercises: Institutional Risk Management

Module 4: The Risk Problem

Prof. Dr. Joerg Osterrieder

Digital Finance — BSc Course

Exercise 1: Risk-Weighted Assets Calculation

Scenario: A regional bank has the following asset portfolio (synthetic data):

Asset Class	Exposure (\$M)	Risk Weight
Cash and central bank reserves	50	0%
OECD sovereign bonds (AA-rated)	120	0%
Claims on other OECD banks	80	20%
Residential mortgages	300	35%
Investment-grade corporate loans	200	50%
Unsecured consumer loans	150	75%
Sub-investment-grade corporate loans	100	100%

Tasks:

- Calculate the **RWA** for each asset class and the **total RWA**.
- The bank has CET1 capital of \$28M, AT1 of \$5M, and Tier 2 of \$7M. Calculate the **CAR** and the **CET1 ratio**.
- Does the bank meet the Basel III minimum requirements ($\text{CET1} \geq 4.5\%$, $\text{CAR} \geq 8\%$)? Does it meet the conservation buffer ($\text{CET1} \geq 7\%$)?
- If the bank wants a CET1 ratio of 10%, how much **additional CET1 capital** does it need?

Difficulty: Intermediate — requires multi-step arithmetic.

Exercise 2: Liquidity Coverage Ratio

Scenario: A bank holds the following liquid assets and faces these 30-day outflows (synthetic data):

HQLA Portfolio:

Asset	Value (\$M)	
Gov. bonds (Level 1)	100	Haircuts: L1 =
Corp. bonds AA (L2A)	40	
Equities (L2B)	20	

0%, L2A = 15%, L2B = 50%.

30-Day Outflows:

Source	Outflow (\$M)
Retail deposits (5%)	30
Wholesale unsecured (40%)	50
Committed lines (10%)	20
Total	100

Tasks:

- Calculate the **adjusted HQLA** after applying haircuts.
- Calculate the **LCR**. Does the bank meet the 100% minimum?
- The bank loses a major corporate depositor, adding \$25M to wholesale outflows. Recalculate the LCR. Does the bank still comply?
- Propose two actions the bank could take to restore the LCR above 100% if it falls below.

Difficulty: Intermediate — requires arithmetic and reasoning.

Exercise 3: Stress Test Impact on Capital

Scenario: A bank enters a stress test with the following starting position (synthetic data):

- Total RWA: \$800M
- CET1 capital: \$96M (CET1 ratio: 12.0%)
- Credit portfolio: \$600M in corporate loans (100% RW), \$200M in mortgages (35% RW)

Adverse scenario assumptions (over 2 years):

- Corporate loan default rate increases from 2% to 8%; LGD = 45%
- Mortgage default rate increases from 0.5% to 3%; LGD = 25%
- RWA increases by 10% due to rating downgrades
- Pre-provision operating income: \$20M per year (partially offsets losses)

Tasks:

- Calculate total **credit losses** under the adverse scenario (2-year cumulative).
- Calculate **CET1 capital at the trough** (starting CET1 – losses + operating income).
- Calculate the **stressed CET1 ratio** (using the 10%-higher RWA).
- Does the bank breach the 7.0% combined requirement? What actions should it take?

Difficulty: Advanced — requires multi-step scenario modeling.

Exercise 4: Credit Portfolio Expected Loss

Scenario: A bank's loan book consists of three segments (synthetic data):

Segment	EAD (\$M)	PD	LGD
Investment-grade corporates	500	0.5%	40%
SME loans	200	3.0%	55%
Unsecured consumer credit	100	5.0%	70%

Tasks:

- Calculate the **Expected Loss (EL)** for each segment and the **total portfolio EL**.
- The bank charges an average spread of 2.5% on its \$800M portfolio. Is the total interest income sufficient to cover expected losses? What is the excess?
- If default correlations between segments increase during a recession, explain qualitatively how this affects **unexpected losses** even if PDs remain the same.
- Why can the bank *not* simply set provisions equal to the expected loss and consider itself "safe"?

Difficulty: Intermediate — requires computation and interpretation.

Exercise 5: Capital Stack and Buffer Requirements

Scenario: A globally systemically important bank (G-SIB) has:

- Total RWA: \$2,000M
- CET1: \$220M; AT1: \$40M; Tier 2: \$60M
- G-SIB surcharge: 2.0%
- Countercyclical buffer (currently): 1.0%

Basel III combined requirements:

Requirement	CET1 (% of RWA)
Pillar 1 minimum	4.5%
Capital conservation buffer	2.5%
Countercyclical buffer	1.0%
G-SIB surcharge	2.0%
Total combined CET1 requirement	10.0%

Tasks:

- Calculate the bank's CET1 ratio and CAR.
- Does the bank meet all combined CET1 requirements?
- The countercyclical buffer is raised to 2.5%. How much additional CET1 must the bank raise?
- Explain why regulators impose **higher** capital requirements on G-SIBs compared to smaller banks.

Difficulty: Intermediate–Advanced — requires buffer stacking and reasoning.

Exercise 6: Operational Risk and Key Risk Indicators

Scenario: A bank's operational risk dashboard shows the following KRI trends over the last four quarters:

KRI	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
IT system outages (hours)	2	5	12	18
Failed trades (#)	15	22	35	48
Compliance breaches (#)	1	2	3	5
Staff turnover in risk (%)	8%	12%	18%	25%
Cyber incidents (#)	3	5	8	14

Tasks:

- Identify the **three most concerning trends** and explain why each is worrying.
- For each concerning KRI, propose a **concrete remediation action**.
- The risk committee asks: "Is this just noise, or should we escalate to the board?" Write a brief (3–4 sentence) recommendation.
- Explain how rising staff turnover in the risk function could **amplify** the other KRI trends.

Difficulty: Advanced — requires interpretation and recommendation.

Exercise 7: Reverse Stress Test Design

Scenario: You are the CRO of a mid-size bank with the following characteristics:

- 60% of the loan book is concentrated in commercial real estate (CRE)
- CET1 ratio: 10.5%
- LCR: 115%
- Heavy reliance on wholesale funding (NSFR: 95%)

Tasks:

- Design a **reverse stress test scenario** that would cause the bank's CET1 ratio to fall below 4.5%. Specify at least 3 simultaneous shocks with quantitative magnitudes.
- Explain why your scenario is **plausible** (not purely hypothetical). Reference a historical precedent for at least one of the shocks.
- Identify **two mitigating actions** the bank should take *now* (before any crisis) to reduce the probability or impact of your scenario.
- How does the bank's low NSFR (95%) interact with a CRE downturn to create a **combined solvency–liquidity crisis**?

Difficulty: Advanced–Integrative — requires synthesis and evaluation.

Exercise 8: Comprehensive Case – Comparing Two Banks

Scenario: An analyst compares two banks (all data synthetic):

Metric	Bank Alpha	Bank Beta
Total assets	\$50B	\$50B
RWA	\$25B	\$35B
CET1 capital	\$3.0B	\$3.5B
CET1 ratio	12.0%	10.0%
LCR	145%	105%
NSFR	112%	92%
NPL ratio	1.2%	3.8%
Stressed CET1 (trough)	8.5%	5.2%
IT outage hours (last year)	4	52
Revenue concentration: top 3 sectors	30%	65%

Tasks:

- Which bank has a **riskier asset mix**? Justify using the RWA-to-assets ratio.
- Which bank is **more resilient under stress**? Cite at least 3 metrics.
- Bank Beta argues: “Our CET1 ratio is 10% — well above minimums.” Write a 4–5 sentence rebuttal identifying the bank’s vulnerabilities.
- As an investor, which bank would you prefer, and what **risk premium** (in basis points of credit spread) would you demand for lending to the weaker bank? Justify your reasoning.

Difficulty: Advanced–Integrative — combines all lesson concepts into a holistic assessment.

Exercise 1:

- (a) Cash: 0. Sovereigns: 0. Banks: $\$80M \times 20\% = \$16M$. Mortgages: $\$300M \times 35\% = \$105M$. IG corp: $\$200M \times 50\% = \$100M$. Consumer: $\$150M \times 75\% = \$112.5M$. Sub-IG: $\$100M \times 100\% = \$100M$. **Total RWA = \$433.5M.**
- (b) $CAR = (28+5+7)/433.5 = 40/433.5 = 9.22\%$. CET1 ratio = $28/433.5 = 6.46\%$.
- (c) CET1 $\geq 4.5\%$: Yes (6.46%). CAR $\geq 8\%$: Yes (9.22%). Conservation buffer (CET1 $\geq 7\%$): **No** (6.46% < 7%).
- (d) Target CET1 = $10\% \times 433.5 = \$43.35M$. Additional = $\$43.35 - \$28 = \$15.35M$.

Exercise 2:

- (a) $HQLA = \$100 + (\$40 \times 0.85) + (\$20 \times 0.50) = \$100 + \$34 + \$10 = \$144M$.
- (b) $LCR = 144/100 = 144\%$. Yes, well above 100%.
- (c) New outflows = $100 + 25 = \$125M$. $LCR = 144/125 = 115.2\%$. Still compliant.
- (d) (i) Purchase more Level 1 HQLA (government bonds). (ii) Extend deposit terms to reduce run-off assumptions.

Answer Key (continued)

Exercise 3:

- (a) Corporate losses: $\$600M \times 8\% \times 45\% = \$21.6M$. Mortgage losses: $\$200M \times 3\% \times 25\% = \$1.5M$. **Total credit losses = \$23.1M** (2-year cumulative).
- (b) Trough CET1 = $\$96M - \$23.1M + (\$20M \times 2) = \$96 - \$23.1 + \$40 = \$112.9M$.
- (c) Stressed RWA = $\$800M \times 1.10 = \$880M$. Stressed CET1 ratio = $112.9/880 = 12.83\%$. This bank actually survives well due to strong pre-provision income.
- (d) The bank passes at 12.83% — well above 7.0%. No immediate action required, but continued monitoring is prudent.

Exercise 4:

- (a) IG: $\$500M \times 0.5\% \times 40\% = \$1.0M$. SME: $\$200M \times 3\% \times 55\% = \$3.3M$. Consumer: $\$100M \times 5\% \times 70\% = \$3.5M$. **Total EL = \$7.8M**.
- (b) Interest income = $\$800M \times 2.5\% = \$20M$. Excess = $\$20M - \$7.8M = \$12.2M$. Yes, sufficient with a comfortable margin.
- (c) Higher correlation increases the probability that *many* borrowers default simultaneously, fattening the tail of the loss distribution and increasing unexpected losses — even without higher individual PDs.
- (d) Expected loss is the *mean* of the distribution. Actual losses in any given year can far exceed the mean (tail risk). Capital is needed for the unexpected excess.

Answer Key (continued)

Exercise 5:

- (a) CET1 ratio = $220/2000 = 11.0\%$. CAR = $(220+40+60)/2000 = 320/2000 = 16.0\%$.
- (b) Combined CET1 requirement = 10.0%. Bank has 11.0%. **Yes**, meets all requirements with a 1.0% buffer.
- (c) New combined CET1 = $4.5 + 2.5 + 2.5 + 2.0 = 11.5\%$. Additional CET1 needed = $(11.5\% - 11.0\%) \times \$2,000M = 0.5\% \times \$2,000M = \$10M$.
- (d) G-SIBs pose systemic risk: their failure could destabilize the global financial system. Higher capital requirements create larger loss-absorption buffers and reduce the probability of taxpayer bailouts. The surcharge also incentivizes banks to reduce their systemic footprint.

Exercise 8:

- (a) Alpha: $RWA/Assets = 25/50 = 50\%$. Beta: $35/50 = 70\%$. **Beta has a riskier asset mix** — more of its assets carry higher risk weights.
- (b) **Alpha is more resilient:** (1) Higher stressed CET1 (8.5% vs. 5.2%). (2) Higher LCR (145% vs. 105%). (3) Lower NPL ratio (1.2% vs. 3.8%). (4) NSFR above 100% (112% vs. 92%).
- (c) Beta's 10% CET1 drops to 5.2% under stress (breaching 7% buffer), its NSFR is below 100% (structural funding risk), NPL ratio is elevated at 3.8%, 52 hours of IT outages signal weak operational controls, and 65% revenue concentration in 3 sectors creates material concentration risk.
- (d) Alpha preferred. For Beta, a premium of 50–100 bps is justified due to stress test vulnerability, structural funding weakness (NSFR < 100%), and operational risk concerns.