

Lesson 4.4 Exercises: The New Risk Landscape

Module 4: The Risk Problem

Prof. Dr. Joerg Osterrieder

Digital Finance — BSc Course

Exercise 1: NIST Framework Mapping

Scenario: A mid-size European bank experiences the following cybersecurity events during a single quarter:

- 1 An employee clicks a phishing link, installing malware on their workstation
- 2 The bank's intrusion detection system flags unusual outbound traffic from the infected machine 4 hours later
- 3 The incident response team isolates the workstation and blocks the command-and-control IP address
- 4 A forensic investigation determines that no customer data was exfiltrated
- 5 IT restores the workstation from a clean backup and patches the vulnerability

Tasks:

- a Map each event (1–5) to the appropriate NIST CSF function (Identify, Protect, Detect, Respond, Recover). Justify each mapping in one sentence.
- b Which NIST function **failed** in this scenario? What specific control could have prevented the initial compromise?
- c The bank has no formal asset inventory. Explain how this gap in the Identify function could make events 2–5 *more difficult or less effective*.

Difficulty: Introductory — tests understanding of the NIST CSF lifecycle.

Exercise 2: Order Book and Execution Cost

Scenario: The following synthetic order book is displayed for stock XYZ at 10:00 AM:

Bids (Buy)		Asks (Sell)	
Price (€)	Qty (shares)	Price (€)	Qty (shares)
49.95	500	50.05	300
49.90	800	50.10	600
49.85	1,200	50.15	400
49.80	600	50.20	1,000

Tasks:

- What is the current bid-ask spread (in € and in basis points relative to the midpoint)?
- A trader submits a **market sell order** for 1,500 shares. Walk through the execution step by step: how many shares fill at each bid level? What is the volume-weighted average execution price (VWAP)?
- Compare the VWAP to the midpoint price. Express the execution cost (slippage) in € per share and as a percentage.
- If the trader instead used an **iceberg order** (hidden quantity) to sell 1,500 shares in batches of 200, what is the likely impact on execution quality?

Difficulty: Intermediate — requires arithmetic and microstructure reasoning.

Exercise 3: Physical vs. Transition Risk Classification

Scenario: A bank's credit portfolio includes loans to the following borrowers. For each, determine whether the primary climate-related risk is **physical**, **transition**, or **both**.

Borrower	Description
A	A coastal hotel chain in Southeast Asia
B	A German coal-fired power plant operator
C	An agricultural cooperative in sub-Saharan Africa
D	An electric vehicle manufacturer
E	A commercial real estate developer in a flood-prone European city
F	A diversified oil & gas major with a renewables division

Tasks:

- Classify each borrower (A–F) as primarily facing physical risk, transition risk, or both. Justify each in one sentence.
- For borrower F, explain why a 1.5°C scenario might actually *benefit* part of the business while harming another part.
- Which borrower faces the highest risk of holding **stranded assets**? Explain using the carbon budget concept.

Difficulty: Introductory — tests classification of climate risk channels.

Exercise 4: DeFi Composability Cascade

Scenario: Consider the following DeFi stack:

- 1 **Base layer:** A lending protocol (Protocol A) accepts ETH as collateral and issues a synthetic stablecoin (sUSD)
- 2 **Layer 2:** A yield farming protocol (Protocol B) accepts sUSD and issues yield tokens (yBT)
- 3 **Layer 3:** A leveraged trading protocol (Protocol C) accepts yBT as collateral for leveraged positions

Tasks:

- a Draw a dependency diagram showing which protocol depends on which.
- b Suppose the ETH price drops 25% in 10 minutes. Trace the cascade: what happens at each layer? Be specific about liquidation triggers and collateral shortfalls.
- c Now suppose the oracle feeding ETH/USD prices to Protocol A goes offline for 5 minutes during the crash. How does this **worsen** the cascade?
- d Propose two design changes (one at the protocol level, one at the ecosystem level) that could reduce the severity of this cascade.

Difficulty: Advanced — requires tracing multi-layer DeFi dependency chains.

Exercise 5: Flash Crash Mechanism

Scenario: At 14:32:00, a synthetic stock index is trading at 4,200 points. The following sequence of events occurs:

- 1 14:32:05 — A large algorithmic sell order of 50,000 contracts is submitted
- 2 14:32:06 — Market makers absorb the first 10,000 contracts; index drops to 4,180
- 3 14:32:08 — Market makers pull remaining quotes (liquidity withdrawal)
- 4 14:32:10 — Stop-loss orders at 4,175 are triggered, adding 30,000 sell contracts
- 5 14:32:15 — Index reaches 4,050 (a 3.6% drop in 10 seconds)
- 6 14:32:30 — The exchange activates a circuit breaker; trading pauses for 5 minutes
- 7 14:37:30 — Trading resumes; index recovers to 4,185 within 3 minutes

Tasks:

- a Identify the three feedback loops that amplified the initial sell order into a flash crash.
- b Explain why the market makers withdrew quotes at step 3. Use the concept of adverse selection.
- c A trader had a stop-loss at 4,175. Their order executed at 4,080 (95 points of slippage). Explain why the execution price was so far from the trigger price.
- d Evaluate the circuit breaker: did it help or merely delay the problem? What are the trade-offs?

Difficulty: Advanced — requires dynamic analysis of microstructure feedback loops.

Exercise 6: Supply-Chain Cyber Attack

Scenario: A major financial software vendor (used by 200 banks globally) is compromised. The attacker inserts a backdoor into a routine software update. Within 48 hours, 200 banks have installed the malicious update.

Tasks:

- a) Classify this attack using the cyber attack taxonomy: what type of attack is this (phishing, ransomware, supply-chain, insider, DDoS)?
- b) Explain why this attack type is **particularly dangerous** for the financial system (think: correlation, contagion, detection difficulty).
- c) Map the ideal response to NIST CSF functions. For each of the five functions, describe one specific action the affected banks should take.
- d) A risk model treats cyber events as independent across banks. Explain why this supply-chain attack violates the independence assumption and what this means for systemic risk estimation.

Difficulty: Intermediate–Advanced — applies NIST CSF to a systemic cyber scenario.

Exercise 7: Evaluating a TCFD Disclosure

Scenario: A large European bank publishes the following TCFD-aligned climate risk disclosure excerpt:

“The Board reviews climate risks annually. Our strategy includes a commitment to net-zero financed emissions by 2050. We assess climate risk using qualitative expert judgment. Our Scope 1 and 2 emissions declined 15% last year. We do not currently measure Scope 3 financed emissions.”

Tasks:

- a. Map each sentence of the disclosure to a TCFD pillar (Governance, Strategy, Risk Management, Metrics & Targets). Note any pillar that is missing or inadequately addressed.
- b. The bank uses “qualitative expert judgment” for risk assessment. Critique this approach: what are its limitations compared to quantitative scenario analysis (e.g., NGFS scenarios)?
- c. The bank omits Scope 3 (financed emissions). For a bank, which scope typically dominates total emissions? Why is this omission problematic?
- d. Draft two specific recommendations for improving this disclosure, citing the TCFD guidance.

Difficulty: Advanced — requires critical evaluation of a real-world-style disclosure.

Exercise 8: Compound Risk Scenario Design

Scenario: You are a risk manager at a bank with exposure to:

- A DeFi lending platform (through a subsidiary)
- A portfolio of fossil fuel corporate bonds
- Heavy reliance on a single cloud provider for core banking

Tasks:

- Design a “compound stress scenario” that involves *all three* new risk types (cyber, DeFi, climate) materializing in a correlated sequence. Describe the triggering event, the transmission channels, and the expected portfolio impact.
- For each leg of your scenario, identify which traditional risk category (market, credit, operational, liquidity) it maps to. Show that a single new risk event can trigger losses across multiple traditional categories.
- Explain why a siloed risk management approach (separate cyber, climate, and DeFi risk teams) would **underestimate** the total loss in your compound scenario.
- Propose three concrete actions the bank’s risk committee should take to prepare for compound risk scenarios. For each, specify the responsible function (CRO, CISO, Board, etc.).

Difficulty: Advanced–Integrative — synthesizes all lesson concepts into a scenario design.