

Lesson 5.1: Machine Learning Foundations for Finance – Practice Exercises

Digital Finance Course

Exercise 1: Classification vs. Regression

Classify each financial problem as Classification (C) or Regression (R), and propose an appropriate evaluation metric:

- 1 Predicting whether a credit card transaction is fraudulent
- 2 Estimating the expected loss on a mortgage portfolio
- 3 Deciding whether to approve or deny a personal loan application
- 4 Forecasting next month's Value at Risk (VaR) for a trading desk
- 5 Detecting whether a customer will churn within 90 days
- 6 Predicting the settlement price of a commodity futures contract

For each problem, also answer:

- Is the data likely to be imbalanced?
- What is the cost asymmetry (which type of error is more expensive)?

Exercise 2: Confusion Matrix Calculations

A fraud detection model processes 50,000 transactions. The results are:

	Predicted Legitimate	Predicted Fraud
Actual Legitimate	48,200	500
Actual Fraud	120	1,180

Calculate:

- 1 Precision for the fraud class
- 2 Recall for the fraud class
- 3 F1-score for the fraud class
- 4 Overall accuracy
- 5 If each missed fraud costs \$5,000 and each false alarm costs \$50, what is the total cost of model errors?

Exercise 3: Bias-Variance Diagnosis

Three credit scoring models are evaluated. Diagnose each and recommend an action:

Model	Train AUC	Test AUC	Diagnosis?
Logistic Regression	0.72	0.70	?
Decision Tree (depth=30)	0.99	0.65	?
XGBoost (tuned)	0.91	0.88	?

For each model:

- 1 Classify as underfitting, overfitting, or good fit
- 2 Explain the relationship between bias and variance for this model
- 3 Recommend one specific action to improve performance
- 4 Which model would you deploy in production? Justify your choice.

Exercise 4: Feature Engineering for Anti-Money Laundering (AML)

You have a dataset of bank transactions with these raw fields:

`transaction_id, account_id, timestamp, amount, currency, sender_country, receiver_country, transaction_type, account_age_days, account_balance`

Design 8 engineered features that could help detect money laundering. For each feature:

- 1 Name the feature
- 2 Write the formula or logic
- 3 Explain why it captures suspicious behavior
- 4 Categorize it: ratio, rolling window, lag, aggregation, or time-since-event

Bonus: Which of your features would be most informative for detecting “structuring” (breaking large transfers into many small ones to avoid reporting thresholds)?

Exercise 5: Model Comparison – Tree-Based Methods

You are building a credit default prediction model. Compare three approaches:

Criterion	Decision Tree	Random Forest	XGBoost
How trees are built	?	?	?
Overfitting risk	?	?	?
Interpretability	?	?	?
Handles missing data	?	?	?
Training speed	?	?	?
Typical AUC range	?	?	?

Questions:

- 1 Fill in the table with appropriate descriptions
- 2 If a regulator requires full model explainability, which model would you choose and why?
- 3 Under what conditions might random forest outperform XGBoost?

Exercise 6: ROC Curve Interpretation

Two credit scoring models are evaluated on the same test set. Their ROC curves yield:

- Model A (Logistic Regression): $AUC = 0.78$
- Model B (XGBoost): $AUC = 0.91$

Questions:

- 1 What does it mean that Model B's AUC is 0.91? Express this in plain language.
- 2 At a False Positive Rate (FPR) of 5%, Model A achieves a True Positive Rate (TPR) of 45% and Model B achieves 82%. What does this mean for the bank?
- 3 The bank processes 100,000 loan applications per year, of which 3,000 eventually default. Using Model B at a 5% FPR operating point:
 - How many defaults will it correctly identify?
 - How many non-defaulters will be incorrectly flagged?
 - What is the precision at this operating point?
- 4 Under what business scenario would you choose Model A despite its lower AUC?

Exercise 7: Anomaly Detection vs. Supervised Classification

A fintech company processes payments and wants to build a fraud detection system. They have:

- 5 million labeled transactions from 2022–2023 (0.3% confirmed fraud)
- Evidence that fraudsters changed tactics significantly in late 2023
- A compliance team that can investigate 500 alerts per day

Questions:

- 1 Would you use supervised classification, anomaly detection, or both? Justify your architecture.
- 2 If you choose anomaly detection (Isolation Forest), what contamination parameter would you set and why?
- 3 The compliance team capacity is 500 alerts/day. If the model processes 200,000 transactions/day, what maximum false positive rate is acceptable?
- 4 Design a two-stage system that combines both approaches. Draw the data flow and explain each stage.

Exercise 8: End-to-End ML Pipeline Design

Design a complete ML pipeline for predicting customer churn at a neobank.

Given:

- 2 million customers, 18 months of data, 8% annual churn rate
- Available data: transaction history, app usage, demographics, customer service contacts
- Requirement: model must be explainable (EU AI Act compliance)

For each pipeline stage, specify your choice and justify it:

- 1 **Problem framing:** Classification or regression? Target variable definition?
- 2 **Feature engineering:** List 5 features you would create (with formulas)
- 3 **Validation strategy:** How would you split the data? Why?
- 4 **Model selection:** Which model(s) would you train? Why?
- 5 **Evaluation metric:** Which metric is primary? Why not accuracy?
- 6 **Deployment:** How would you monitor for model drift?