

# Pre-Class Discovery: Supply Chain Transparency — SOLUTIONS

Digital Finance – BSc Course

Prof. Dr. Joerg Osterrieder

Complete before class. No prior knowledge required. Work alone or in pairs.

---

## Activity 1: Trace Your Lunch

Pick one item from your last meal (e.g. a banana, a chicken sandwich, a coffee).

- (a) Trace it back 3 steps from your plate. Fill in the table:

Step	Actor	What you do NOT know
3 (you)	Retailer / canteen	
2	Distributor	
1	Producer / farmer	

- (b) What information would you *need* to verify the item is organic, fair-trade, or sustainably sourced?

## Activity 2: The Trust Gap

A blockchain records that your coffee is certified organic. But the blockchain only stores what someone typed in. The coffee itself never touches the chain.

- (a) This is called the “oracle problem.” In one sentence, explain why a blockchain cannot verify physical-world facts by itself.
- (b) List 3 approaches to bridge the gap between the physical bean and the digital record.  
*Hint: think about IoT sensors, third-party audits, and economic incentives.*
- (c) For each approach, name one weakness or way it could be defeated.

## Activity 3: EU Digital Product Passport Impact

Starting in 2027, the EU requires Digital Product Passports (DPPs) for textiles, batteries, and electronics—a full lifecycle record on-chain or in a verified database.

Consider a \$5 t-shirt sold by a fast-fashion brand:

- (a) Estimate the implementation cost per unit to create a DPP (think: scanning, data entry, storage, QR code, IT systems).
- (b) Who ultimately pays this cost? The brand, the factory, or the consumer?
- (c) Does the DPP requirement help or hurt small producers in developing countries? Give one argument for each side.

---

### Answer Key

**A1:** (a) Typical unknowns: retailer—markup, storage conditions; distributor—transport temperature, delays, mixing of batches; producer—pesticide use, labour conditions, exact origin plot. (b) Certifications, lab test results, GPS coordinates of farm, audit trail of every handler, temperature logs.

**A2:** (a) A blockchain validates transaction rules (signatures, balances) but cannot sense the physical world; it relies on external inputs (oracles) that can lie. (b) IoT sensors (e.g. temperature/GPS tags on shipments), independent third-party audits at checkpoints, stake-and-slash incentives (participants post a bond that is forfeited if fraud is detected). (c) IoT: sensors can be tampered with or relocated. Audits: auditors can be bribed or fooled. Incentives: fraud profitable if gains exceed the bond.

**A3:** (a) Estimates range from \$0.05–\$0.50 per unit (scanning + data entry + IT amortised over volume). (b) Initially the brand pays for IT; long-run, the cost is passed to consumers via higher prices or absorbed by squeezing factory margins. (c) Helps: levels the playing field—transparent producers gain market access. Hurts: compliance cost is proportionally higher for small producers who lack IT infrastructure.