

L02 — Blockchain Fundamentals

Cheatsheet

Block Structure

Formal Definition

$\text{Block}_n = (\text{Hash}_{n-1}, \text{Timestamp}, \text{Nonce}, \text{MerkleRoot}, \text{Txns})$

Header (80 bytes in Bitcoin):

| Field | Size | Purpose |
|---------------|------|-----------------------------|
| Version | 4 B | Protocol rules in effect |
| Prev. Hash | 32 B | Links to previous block |
| Merkle Root | 32 B | Fingerprint of all txns |
| Timestamp | 4 B | Unix time of block creation |
| Bits (Target) | 4 B | Current difficulty |
| Nonce | 4 B | Mining solution counter |

Body: ordered list of transactions; first is always the *coinbase* (miner reward, no inputs).

Hash Chain & Immutability

Each block includes the SHA-256 hash of the *previous* block header, creating a cryptographic chain.

Tamper cascade: modifying Block k changes its hash \Rightarrow Block $k+1$'s "Prev Hash" is now invalid \Rightarrow must redo PoW for all subsequent blocks.

Practical security: attacker needs $> 50\%$ of global hash rate to outpace the honest chain—economically prohibitive.

Genesis block (Block 0): Previous Hash = $\underbrace{00 \dots 0}_{64}$;

Satoshi embedded the message "*Chancellor on brink of second bailout for banks*" (3 Jan 2009).

Transaction Lifecycle

1. **Create:** User constructs transaction (inputs, outputs, amount)
2. **Sign:** User signs with private key (ECDSA proof of ownership)

3. **Broadcast:** Sent to connected peers via gossip protocol
4. **Mempool:** Unconfirmed transactions wait; miner selects by fee
5. **Mine:** Miner includes txn in block, solves PoW puzzle
6. **Propagate:** New block gossips across network (\sim seconds)
7. **Confirm:** Each subsequent block = 1 more confirmation; 6 confirmations \approx finality for Bitcoin

Replace-By-Fee (RBF): user can rebroadcast stuck txn with higher fee.

Merkle Trees

Structure

Binary hash tree: leaves = $H(\text{Tx}_i)$; parents = $H(\text{left}||\text{right})$.
Root stored in block header summarises all transactions.

Root formula (4 transactions):

$$\text{Root} = H(H(H(T_1)||H(T_2)) || H(H(T_3)||H(T_4)))$$

SPV proof size: $O(\log_2 n)$ hashes needed to prove inclusion.

Example: 1 000 txns \rightarrow only 10 hashes; 1 000 000 txns \rightarrow only 20 hashes.

Light client (SPV): downloads only block headers (~ 75 MB vs ~ 720 GB for full node); verifies via Merkle proof that a transaction is included without trusting a third party.

Network Node Types

| Node Type | Role & Resources |
|---------------|--|
| Full node | Stores entire chain; validates all txns; enforces consensus rules |
| Light (SPV) | Stores headers only; relies on Merkle proofs; mobile-friendly |
| Mining node | Full node + creates blocks; competes for block reward |
| Archival node | Full node preserving all historical state (ETH: older pruned data) |

Bitcoin network: $\approx 25\,000$ reachable nodes; $\approx 50\,000+$ total estimated.

Fork Types

| Fork | Meaning & Examples |
|------------------|--|
| Soft fork | New rules are a <i>subset</i> of old rules; backward compatible. Old nodes accept new blocks. Majority upgrade sufficient. <i>Examples:</i> SegWit (2017), Taproot (2021) |
| Hard fork | Makes previously invalid blocks valid; <i>not</i> backward compatible. Old nodes reject new blocks; permanent chain split if not unanimous. <i>Examples:</i> Bitcoin Cash (2017, 8 MB blocks), Ethereum Classic (2016, DAO rollback), Bitcoin SV (2018) |

Scalability Trilemma (Buterin)

Any blockchain can fully achieve **at most two of:**

| Property | Meaning |
|------------------|------------------------------|
| Scalability | High TPS; fast settlement |
| Decentralisation | Many independent validators |
| Security | Resistant to $> 50\%$ attack |

Throughput comparison:

- Bitcoin (BTC): ≈ 7 TPS

- Ethereum (ETH): $\approx 15\text{--}30$ TPS
- Visa: $\approx 24\,000$ TPS (peak $\sim 65\,000$)
- Solana: $\sim 3\,000\text{--}65\,000$ TPS (less decentralised)

Layer 2 Solutions

L2 inherits L1 security while dramatically increasing throughput.

State channels (e.g., Lightning Network): off-chain payment channel; only open/close txns settle on L1; instant, near-zero fees; best for repeated micro-payments.

Optimistic rollups (Arbitrum, Optimism): bundle txns off-chain, post compressed data + state root to L1; assume valid, dispute window ~ 7 days; $10\times\text{--}100\times$ throughput gain.

ZK-rollups (zkSync, StarkNet): generate cryptographic validity proof for each batch; no dispute period; higher proving cost but faster finality.

Sidechains (Polygon PoS): independent chain with own consensus; bridge to L1; weaker security guarantees than rollups.

Key Formulas

Block reward halving:

$$R_n = \frac{50}{2^{\lfloor n/210,000 \rfloor}} \text{ BTC}$$

where n = block height. Last BTC mined \approx year 2140; total supply = 21M BTC.

Confirmation security (attacker hash fraction q , honest $p = 1 - q$):

$$P(\text{catch up after } z \text{ blocks}) \approx \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^z$$

For $q = 0.1$, $z = 6$: $P < 0.1\%$.

Merkle proof size: $O(\log_2 n)$ hashes for n transactions.

Mining target: valid block requires $H(\text{header}) <$ target; difficulty adjusts every 2016 blocks (≈ 2 weeks) to maintain 10 min/block.

Terminology Quick Reference

| Term | One-Line Definition |
|--------------|--|
| Merkle root | Single hash summarising all block transactions |
| Nonce | Counter varied by miner to satisfy PoW target |
| Mempool | Pool of unconfirmed transactions at each node |
| Coinbase txn | First block transaction; generates new BTC reward |
| UTXO | Unspent Transaction Output — Bitcoin's balance model |
| Orphan block | Valid block not on canonical chain (shorter branch) |
| Reorg | Network switches to longer valid chain, abandons tip |
| SPV | Simplified Payment Verification (light client) |
| Gossip | Peer-to-peer epidemic broadcast of new data |
| Confirmation | Each block added on top of a transaction's block |
| 51% attack | Majority hash rate enables chain rewrite |
| SegWit | Soft fork separating signature data; raises effective block size |