

Advanced Topics

Module Quiz – 20 Multiple-Choice Questions

Topics covered: L2 scaling · Rollup mechanics · Flash loans · MEV · Smart contract security · Bridge attacks · Audit tools

Select the best answer. Answers revealed after each question.

Bloom's levels: 4 Understand · 8 Apply · 6 Analyze · 2 Evaluate

Q1. [Understand] **The scalability trilemma states that a blockchain can fully optimize for at most how many of these three properties simultaneously: security, scalability, decentralization?**
A) One B) Two C) Three D) It depends on the consensus algorithm

Q1. [Understand] **The scalability trilemma states that a blockchain can fully optimize for at most how many of these three properties simultaneously: security, scalability, decentralization?**

A) One B) Two C) Three D) It depends on the consensus algorithm

Answer: B) A blockchain can optimize for at most two of the three properties; L2 solutions attempt all three by inheriting L1 security while executing off-chain.

Q2. [Understand] **What is the primary function of a sequencer in a Layer 2 rollup?**

A) Mine new blocks on L1 B) Validate zero-knowledge proofs C) Order and batch transactions before submitting them to L1 D) Store full transaction history

Q1. [Understand] The scalability trilemma states that a blockchain can fully optimize for at most how many of these three properties simultaneously: security, scalability, decentralization?

A) One B) Two C) Three D) It depends on the consensus algorithm

Answer: B) A blockchain can optimize for at most two of the three properties; L2 solutions attempt all three by inheriting L1 security while executing off-chain.

Q2. [Understand] What is the primary function of a sequencer in a Layer 2 rollup?

A) Mine new blocks on L1 B) Validate zero-knowledge proofs C) Order and batch transactions before submitting them to L1 D) Store full transaction history

Answer: C) The sequencer orders and batches transactions, then submits compressed data to L1.

Q3. [Apply] Ethereum L1 processes approximately 15 TPS. An optimistic rollup claims 2,000 TPS. By approximately what factor does the rollup improve throughput?

A) $\sim 13x$ B) $\sim 50x$ C) $\sim 133x$ D) $\sim 2,000x$

Questions 1–5: Scalability & L2 Basics

Q1. [Understand] The scalability trilemma states that a blockchain can fully optimize for at most how many of these three properties simultaneously: security, scalability, decentralization?

A) One B) Two C) Three D) It depends on the consensus algorithm

Answer: B) A blockchain can optimize for at most two of the three properties; L2 solutions attempt all three by inheriting L1 security while executing off-chain.

Q2. [Understand] What is the primary function of a sequencer in a Layer 2 rollup?

A) Mine new blocks on L1 B) Validate zero-knowledge proofs C) Order and batch transactions before submitting them to L1 D) Store full transaction history

Answer: C) The sequencer orders and batches transactions, then submits compressed data to L1.

Q3. [Apply] Ethereum L1 processes approximately 15 TPS. An optimistic rollup claims 2,000 TPS. By approximately what factor does the rollup improve throughput?

A) $\sim 13x$ B) $\sim 50x$ C) $\sim 133x$ D) $\sim 2,000x$

Answer: C) $\sim 133x$ ($2,000/15 \approx 133$), achieved by executing off-chain and posting only compressed calldata (or blobs post-Dencun) to L1.

Q4. [Apply] A user submits a withdrawal from an optimistic rollup. The challenge period is 7 days. On which day at the earliest can the user access their funds on L1?

A) Day 1 B) Day 3 C) Day 7 D) Day 8

Questions 1–5: Scalability & L2 Basics

Q1. [Understand] The scalability trilemma states that a blockchain can fully optimize for at most how many of these three properties simultaneously: security, scalability, decentralization?

A) One B) Two C) Three D) It depends on the consensus algorithm

Answer: B) A blockchain can optimize for at most two of the three properties; L2 solutions attempt all three by inheriting L1 security while executing off-chain.

Q2. [Understand] What is the primary function of a sequencer in a Layer 2 rollup?

A) Mine new blocks on L1 B) Validate zero-knowledge proofs C) Order and batch transactions before submitting them to L1 D) Store full transaction history

Answer: C) The sequencer orders and batches transactions, then submits compressed data to L1.

Q3. [Apply] Ethereum L1 processes approximately 15 TPS. An optimistic rollup claims 2,000 TPS. By approximately what factor does the rollup improve throughput?

A) $\sim 13\times$ B) $\sim 50\times$ C) $\sim 133\times$ D) $\sim 2,000\times$

Answer: C) $\sim 133\times$ ($2,000/15 \approx 133$), achieved by executing off-chain and posting only compressed calldata (or blobs post-Dencun) to L1.

Q4. [Apply] A user submits a withdrawal from an optimistic rollup. The challenge period is 7 days. On which day at the earliest can the user access their funds on L1?

A) Day 1 B) Day 3 C) Day 7 D) Day 8

Answer: D) Day 8 — the full 7-day challenge period must elapse before the withdrawal finalizes.

Q5. [Apply] Before the Dencun upgrade, an Arbitrum swap cost approximately \$0.50. After Dencun (EIP-4844), it costs \$0.01. What is the percentage fee reduction?

A) 50% B) 80% C) 95% D) 98%

Questions 1–5: Scalability & L2 Basics

Q1. [Understand] The scalability trilemma states that a blockchain can fully optimize for at most how many of these three properties simultaneously: security, scalability, decentralization?

A) One B) Two C) Three D) It depends on the consensus algorithm

Answer: B) A blockchain can optimize for at most two of the three properties; L2 solutions attempt all three by inheriting L1 security while executing off-chain.

Q2. [Understand] What is the primary function of a sequencer in a Layer 2 rollup?

A) Mine new blocks on L1 B) Validate zero-knowledge proofs C) Order and batch transactions before submitting them to L1 D) Store full transaction history

Answer: C) The sequencer orders and batches transactions, then submits compressed data to L1.

Q3. [Apply] Ethereum L1 processes approximately 15 TPS. An optimistic rollup claims 2,000 TPS. By approximately what factor does the rollup improve throughput?

A) $\sim 13x$ B) $\sim 50x$ C) $\sim 133x$ D) $\sim 2,000x$

Answer: C) $\sim 133x$ ($2,000/15 \approx 133$), achieved by executing off-chain and posting only compressed calldata (or blobs post-Dencun) to L1.

Q4. [Apply] A user submits a withdrawal from an optimistic rollup. The challenge period is 7 days. On which day at the earliest can the user access their funds on L1?

A) Day 1 B) Day 3 C) Day 7 D) Day 8

Answer: D) Day 8 — the full 7-day challenge period must elapse before the withdrawal finalizes.

Q5. [Apply] Before the Dencun upgrade, an Arbitrum swap cost approximately \$0.50. After Dencun (EIP-4844), it costs \$0.01. What is the percentage fee reduction?

A) 50% B) 80% C) 95% D) 98%

Answer: D) 98% — EIP-4844 blob transactions dramatically cut L2 data posting costs.

Q6. [Understand] **What type of cryptographic proof do ZK-rollups use to verify transaction validity directly on L1?**

- A) Hash commitments B) Merkle proofs C) Zero-knowledge validity proofs D) Fraud proofs with challenge windows

Q6. [Understand] What type of cryptographic proof do ZK-rollups use to verify transaction validity directly on L1?

A) Hash commitments B) Merkle proofs C) Zero-knowledge validity proofs D) Fraud proofs with challenge windows

Answer: C) ZK-rollups use validity proofs (SNARKs or STARKs) to mathematically prove all batched transactions are correct without revealing individual details.

Q7. [Apply] A ZK-rollup batches 1,000 transactions into a single L1 proof. If the on-chain proof verification costs \$10, what is the per-transaction L1 cost?

A) \$10.00 B) \$1.00 C) \$0.10 D) \$0.01

Q6. [Understand] **What type of cryptographic proof do ZK-rollups use to verify transaction validity directly on L1?**

A) Hash commitments B) Merkle proofs C) Zero-knowledge validity proofs D) Fraud proofs with challenge windows

Answer: C) ZK-rollups use validity proofs (SNARKs or STARKs) to mathematically prove all batched transactions are correct without revealing individual details.

Q7. [Apply] **A ZK-rollup batches 1,000 transactions into a single L1 proof. If the on-chain proof verification costs \$10, what is the per-transaction L1 cost?**

A) \$10.00 B) \$1.00 C) \$0.10 D) \$0.01

Answer: D) \$0.01 per transaction ($\$10 / 1,000$) — cost amortization across the batch is the core economic advantage of rollups.

Q8. [Analyze] **Why do optimistic rollups have a 7-day withdrawal delay while ZK-rollups can finalize in minutes?**

A) Optimistic rollups are older technology B) ZK-rollups batch fewer transactions C) Optimistic rollups assume validity and need time for challengers to submit fraud proofs; ZK-rollups prove validity upfront D) Both have the same delay

Questions 6–10: Rollup Mechanics, Fees & Flash Loans

Q6. [Understand] What type of cryptographic proof do ZK-rollups use to verify transaction validity directly on L1?

A) Hash commitments B) Merkle proofs C) Zero-knowledge validity proofs D) Fraud proofs with challenge windows

Answer: C ZK-rollups use validity proofs (SNARKs or STARKs) to mathematically prove all batched transactions are correct without revealing individual details.

Q7. [Apply] A ZK-rollup batches 1,000 transactions into a single L1 proof. If the on-chain proof verification costs \$10, what is the per-transaction L1 cost?

A) \$10.00 B) \$1.00 C) \$0.10 D) \$0.01

Answer: D \$0.01 per transaction ($\$10 / 1,000$) — cost amortization across the batch is the core economic advantage of rollups.

Q8. [Analyze] Why do optimistic rollups have a 7-day withdrawal delay while ZK-rollups can finalize in minutes?

A) Optimistic rollups are older technology B) ZK-rollups batch fewer transactions C) Optimistic rollups assume validity and need time for challengers to submit fraud proofs; ZK-rollups prove validity upfront D) Both have the same delay

Answer: C Optimistic rollups need the 7-day window for fraud proofs; ZK-rollups submit a validity proof with each batch, allowing L1 to finalize immediately.

Q9. [Understand] What makes flash loans “atomic” and distinguishes them from traditional uncollateralized loans?

A) Secured by on-chain NFTs B) The borrow and repay must occur within the same transaction; if repayment fails the entire transaction reverts C) They require KYC D) Interest rates are fixed by governance

Questions 6–10: Rollup Mechanics, Fees & Flash Loans

Q6. [Understand] **What type of cryptographic proof do ZK-rollups use to verify transaction validity directly on L1?**

A) Hash commitments B) Merkle proofs C) Zero-knowledge validity proofs D) Fraud proofs with challenge windows

Answer: C ZK-rollups use validity proofs (SNARKs or STARKs) to mathematically prove all batched transactions are correct without revealing individual details.

Q7. [Apply] **A ZK-rollup batches 1,000 transactions into a single L1 proof. If the on-chain proof verification costs \$10, what is the per-transaction L1 cost?**

A) \$10.00 B) \$1.00 C) \$0.10 D) \$0.01

Answer: D \$0.01 per transaction ($\$10 / 1,000$) — cost amortization across the batch is the core economic advantage of rollups.

Q8. [Analyze] **Why do optimistic rollups have a 7-day withdrawal delay while ZK-rollups can finalize in minutes?**

A) Optimistic rollups are older technology B) ZK-rollups batch fewer transactions C) Optimistic rollups assume validity and need time for challengers to submit fraud proofs; ZK-rollups prove validity upfront D) Both have the same delay

Answer: C Optimistic rollups need the 7-day window for fraud proofs; ZK-rollups submit a validity proof with each batch, allowing L1 to finalize immediately.

Q9. [Understand] **What makes flash loans “atomic” and distinguishes them from traditional uncollateralized loans?**

A) Secured by on-chain NFTs B) The borrow and repay must occur within the same transaction; if repayment fails the entire transaction reverts C) They require KYC D) Interest rates are fixed by governance

Answer: B The entire sequence — borrow, use, repay — executes in one transaction; if repayment fails the EVM reverts all state changes.

Q10. [Analyze] **In the Beanstalk attack (\$182M), the attacker used a flash loan to acquire governance tokens and immediately pass a malicious proposal. Why couldn't the protocol simply “undo” the governance vote?**

A) The attacker destroyed the governance contract B) The vote was executed atomically — the proposal passed and drained funds in the same transaction C) The protocol had no admin keys D) Legal protection

Questions 6–10: Rollup Mechanics, Fees & Flash Loans

Q6. [Understand] **What type of cryptographic proof do ZK-rollups use to verify transaction validity directly on L1?**

A) Hash commitments B) Merkle proofs C) Zero-knowledge validity proofs D) Fraud proofs with challenge windows

Answer: C) ZK-rollups use validity proofs (SNARKs or STARKs) to mathematically prove all batched transactions are correct without revealing individual details.

Q7. [Apply] **A ZK-rollup batches 1,000 transactions into a single L1 proof. If the on-chain proof verification costs \$10, what is the per-transaction L1 cost?**

A) \$10.00 B) \$1.00 C) \$0.10 D) \$0.01

Answer: D) \$0.01 per transaction ($\$10 / 1,000$) — cost amortization across the batch is the core economic advantage of rollups.

Q8. [Analyze] **Why do optimistic rollups have a 7-day withdrawal delay while ZK-rollups can finalize in minutes?**

A) Optimistic rollups are older technology B) ZK-rollups batch fewer transactions C) Optimistic rollups assume validity and need time for challengers to submit fraud proofs; ZK-rollups prove validity upfront D) Both have the same delay

Answer: C) Optimistic rollups need the 7-day window for fraud proofs; ZK-rollups submit a validity proof with each batch, allowing L1 to finalize immediately.

Q9. [Understand] **What makes flash loans “atomic” and distinguishes them from traditional uncollateralized loans?**

A) Secured by on-chain NFTs B) The borrow and repay must occur within the same transaction; if repayment fails the entire transaction reverts C) They require KYC D) Interest rates are fixed by governance

Answer: B) The entire sequence — borrow, use, repay — executes in one transaction; if repayment fails the EVM reverts all state changes.

Q10. [Analyze] **In the Beanstalk attack (\$182M), the attacker used a flash loan to acquire governance tokens and immediately pass a malicious proposal. Why couldn't the protocol simply “undo” the governance vote?**

A) The attacker destroyed the governance contract B) The vote was executed atomically — the proposal passed and drained funds in the same transaction C) The protocol had no admin keys D) Legal protection

Answer: B) Governance execution happened within the same atomic transaction as the flash loan — by confirmation, the funds were already drained.

Q11. [Analyze] **A sandwich attack involves a MEV bot trading immediately before AND after a user's swap. Which participant ultimately bears the economic cost?**
A) The liquidity providers B) The MEV bot C) The user whose swap is sandwiched D) The block validator

Questions 11–15: MEV & Smart Contract Security

Q11. [Analyze] A sandwich attack involves a MEV bot trading immediately before AND after a user's swap. Which participant ultimately bears the economic cost?

A) The liquidity providers B) The MEV bot C) The user whose swap is sandwiched D) The block validator

Answer: C) The user — the bot front-runs to push the price up, then back-runs to capture the spread, so the user receives fewer tokens than expected.

Q12. [Apply] A user swaps 10 ETH for USDC on a DEX. A MEV bot front-runs the trade, pushing the effective USDC price from \$2,500 to \$2,510 per ETH. How much value did the user lose to the sandwich?

A) \$10 B) \$100 C) \$250 D) \$2,500

Questions 11–15: MEV & Smart Contract Security

Q11. [Analyze] **A sandwich attack involves a MEV bot trading immediately before AND after a user's swap. Which participant ultimately bears the economic cost?**

A) The liquidity providers B) The MEV bot C) The user whose swap is sandwiched D) The block validator

Answer: C) The user — the bot front-runs to push the price up, then back-runs to capture the spread, so the user receives fewer tokens than expected.

Q12. [Apply] **A user swaps 10 ETH for USDC on a DEX. A MEV bot front-runs the trade, pushing the effective USDC price from \$2,500 to \$2,510 per ETH. How much value did the user lose to the sandwich?**

A) \$10 B) \$100 C) \$250 D) \$2,500

Answer: B) \$100 (10 ETH × \$10 price impact = \$100) — the “invisible tax” of MEV on every poorly protected swap.

Q13. [Apply] **A smart contract sends ETH to an external address before updating the sender's internal balance. Which vulnerability does this pattern create?**

A) Integer overflow B) Front-running C) Reentrancy D) Oracle manipulation

Questions 11–15: MEV & Smart Contract Security

Q11. [Analyze] A sandwich attack involves a MEV bot trading immediately before AND after a user's swap. Which participant ultimately bears the economic cost?

A) The liquidity providers B) The MEV bot C) The user whose swap is sandwiched D) The block validator

Answer: C) The user — the bot front-runs to push the price up, then back-runs to capture the spread, so the user receives fewer tokens than expected.

Q12. [Apply] A user swaps 10 ETH for USDC on a DEX. A MEV bot front-runs the trade, pushing the effective USDC price from \$2,500 to \$2,510 per ETH. How much value did the user lose to the sandwich?

A) \$10 B) \$100 C) \$250 D) \$2,500

Answer: B) \$100 (10 ETH × \$10 price impact = \$100) — the “invisible tax” of MEV on every poorly protected swap.

Q13. [Apply] A smart contract sends ETH to an external address before updating the sender's internal balance. Which vulnerability does this pattern create?

A) Integer overflow B) Front-running C) Reentrancy D) Oracle manipulation

Answer: C) Reentrancy — the receiving contract's `fallback()` re-calls `withdraw()` before the balance is zeroed, draining funds repeatedly (The DAO, 2016, \$60M).

Q14. [Analyze] The Checks-Effects-Interactions (CEI) pattern defends against reentrancy. In what order should a secure `withdraw()` function execute its three steps?

A) Interaction → Check → Effect B) Check → Interaction → Effect C) Effect → Interaction → Check D) Check → Effect → Interaction

Questions 11–15: MEV & Smart Contract Security

Q11. [Analyze] A sandwich attack involves a MEV bot trading immediately before AND after a user's swap. Which participant ultimately bears the economic cost?

A) The liquidity providers B) The MEV bot C) The user whose swap is sandwiched D) The block validator

Answer: C The user — the bot front-runs to push the price up, then back-runs to capture the spread, so the user receives fewer tokens than expected.

Q12. [Apply] A user swaps 10 ETH for USDC on a DEX. A MEV bot front-runs the trade, pushing the effective USDC price from \$2,500 to \$2,510 per ETH. How much value did the user lose to the sandwich?

A) \$10 B) \$100 C) \$250 D) \$2,500

Answer: B \$100 (10 ETH × \$10 price impact = \$100) — the “invisible tax” of MEV on every poorly protected swap.

Q13. [Apply] A smart contract sends ETH to an external address before updating the sender's internal balance. Which vulnerability does this pattern create?

A) Integer overflow B) Front-running C) Reentrancy D) Oracle manipulation

Answer: C Reentrancy — the receiving contract's `fallback()` re-calls `withdraw()` before the balance is zeroed, draining funds repeatedly (The DAO, 2016, \$60M).

Q14. [Analyze] The Checks-Effects-Interactions (CEI) pattern defends against reentrancy. In what order should a secure `withdraw()` function execute its three steps?

A) Interaction → Check → Effect B) Check → Interaction → Effect C) Effect → Interaction → Check D) Check → Effect → Interaction

Answer: D Check, then Effect (zero the balance), then Interaction (send ETH) — balance is zeroed before the external call, so reentrant calls find nothing to withdraw.

Q15. [Apply] A lending protocol uses a single DEX's spot price as its price oracle. An attacker uses a flash loan to manipulate the pool reserves within one block. Which defense would most effectively prevent this attack?

A) Add a 1% withdrawal fee B) Require KYC C) Use a TWAP oracle D) Increase collateral ratio to 200%

Questions 11–15: MEV & Smart Contract Security

Q11. [Analyze] A sandwich attack involves a MEV bot trading immediately before AND after a user's swap. Which participant ultimately bears the economic cost?

A) The liquidity providers B) The MEV bot C) The user whose swap is sandwiched D) The block validator

Answer: C The user — the bot front-runs to push the price up, then back-runs to capture the spread, so the user receives fewer tokens than expected.

Q12. [Apply] A user swaps 10 ETH for USDC on a DEX. A MEV bot front-runs the trade, pushing the effective USDC price from \$2,500 to \$2,510 per ETH. How much value did the user lose to the sandwich?

A) \$10 B) \$100 C) \$250 D) \$2,500

Answer: B \$100 (10 ETH × \$10 price impact = \$100) — the “invisible tax” of MEV on every poorly protected swap.

Q13. [Apply] A smart contract sends ETH to an external address before updating the sender's internal balance. Which vulnerability does this pattern create?

A) Integer overflow B) Front-running C) Reentrancy D) Oracle manipulation

Answer: C Reentrancy — the receiving contract's `fallback()` re-calls `withdraw()` before the balance is zeroed, draining funds repeatedly (The DAO, 2016, \$60M).

Q14. [Analyze] The Checks-Effects-Interactions (CEI) pattern defends against reentrancy. In what order should a secure `withdraw()` function execute its three steps?

A) Interaction → Check → Effect B) Check → Interaction → Effect C) Effect → Interaction → Check D) Check → Effect → Interaction

Answer: D Check, then Effect (zero the balance), then Interaction (send ETH) — balance is zeroed before the external call, so reentrant calls find nothing to withdraw.

Q15. [Apply] A lending protocol uses a single DEX's spot price as its price oracle. An attacker uses a flash loan to manipulate the pool reserves within one block. Which defense would most effectively prevent this attack?

A) Add a 1% withdrawal fee B) Require KYC C) Use a TWAP oracle D) Increase collateral ratio to 200%

Answer: C A TWAP (Time-Weighted Average Price) averages price over many blocks, making single-block flash loan manipulation economically infeasible.

Q16. [Analyze] The Ronin Bridge used a 9-validator multisig, and the attacker compromised 5 validators to authorize a \$625M drain. What percentage of validators did the attacker need to control?

- A) 33% B) 44% C) 56% D) 67%

Q16. [Analyze] **The Ronin Bridge used a 9-validator multisig, and the attacker compromised 5 validators to authorize a \$625M drain. What percentage of validators did the attacker need to control?**

A) 33% B) 44% C) 56% D) 67%

Answer: C 56% ($5/9 \approx 55.6\%$) — a simple majority threshold made it vulnerable to social engineering of just 5 individuals.

Q17. [Apply] **A security team runs Slither on a contract and finds zero issues. Should the team deploy to mainnet immediately?**

A) Yes – zero findings means secure B) Yes – static analysis is the gold standard C) No – Slither has limited coverage; a full audit requires fuzzing, formal verification, and manual review
D) No – only formal verification can certify safety

Q16. [Analyze] **The Ronin Bridge used a 9-validator multisig, and the attacker compromised 5 validators to authorize a \$625M drain. What percentage of validators did the attacker need to control?**

A) 33% B) 44% C) 56% D) 67%

Answer: C 56% ($5/9 \approx 55.6\%$) — a simple majority threshold made it vulnerable to social engineering of just 5 individuals.

Q17. [Apply] **A security team runs Slither on a contract and finds zero issues. Should the team deploy to mainnet immediately?**

A) Yes – zero findings means secure B) Yes – static analysis is the gold standard C) No – Slither has limited coverage; a full audit requires fuzzing, formal verification, and manual review
D) No – only formal verification can certify safety

Answer: C Slither catches known patterns quickly but misses logic bugs and economic design flaws — defense-in-depth requires multiple complementary tools.

Q18. [Analyze] **Why are cross-chain bridge exploits typically larger in dollar terms than single-protocol exploits?**

A) Bridges charge higher fees B) Bridges are newer and less audited C) Bridges hold pooled assets from multiple chains; a single vulnerability can drain all connected networks simultaneously D) Bridge contracts cannot be upgraded

Questions 16–20: Bridges, Audits & Risk Assessment

Q16. [Analyze] **The Ronin Bridge used a 9-validator multisig, and the attacker compromised 5 validators to authorize a \$625M drain. What percentage of validators did the attacker need to control?**

A) 33% B) 44% C) 56% D) 67%

Answer: C 56% ($5/9 \approx 55.6\%$) — a simple majority threshold made it vulnerable to social engineering of just 5 individuals.

Q17. [Apply] **A security team runs Slither on a contract and finds zero issues. Should the team deploy to mainnet immediately?**

A) Yes – zero findings means secure B) Yes – static analysis is the gold standard C) No – Slither has limited coverage; a full audit requires fuzzing, formal verification, and manual review
D) No – only formal verification can certify safety

Answer: C Slither catches known patterns quickly but misses logic bugs and economic design flaws — defense-in-depth requires multiple complementary tools.

Q18. [Analyze] **Why are cross-chain bridge exploits typically larger in dollar terms than single-protocol exploits?**

A) Bridges charge higher fees B) Bridges are newer and less audited C) Bridges hold pooled assets from multiple chains; a single vulnerability can drain all connected networks simultaneously
D) Bridge contracts cannot be upgraded

Answer: C Bridges concentrate cross-chain liquidity into one target — Ronin (\$625M), Wormhole (\$320M), and Nomad (\$190M) all followed this pattern.

Q19. [Evaluate] **Protocol A has 3 audits, a \$5M bug bounty, formal verification, and a 48-hour timelock. Protocol B has 1 audit and \$500M TVL. Which has a stronger security posture?**

A) Protocol B – TVL proves trust B) They are equal C) Protocol A – multiple audits, formal verification, bug bounty, and timelocks represent defense in depth
D) Protocol B – one reputable audit is sufficient

Questions 16–20: Bridges, Audits & Risk Assessment

Q16. [Analyze] The Ronin Bridge used a 9-validator multisig, and the attacker compromised 5 validators to authorize a \$625M drain. What percentage of validators did the attacker need to control?

A) 33% B) 44% C) 56% D) 67%

Answer: C 56% ($5/9 \approx 55.6\%$) — a simple majority threshold made it vulnerable to social engineering of just 5 individuals.

Q17. [Apply] A security team runs Slither on a contract and finds zero issues. Should the team deploy to mainnet immediately?

A) Yes – zero findings means secure B) Yes – static analysis is the gold standard C) No – Slither has limited coverage; a full audit requires fuzzing, formal verification, and manual review
D) No – only formal verification can certify safety

Answer: C Slither catches known patterns quickly but misses logic bugs and economic design flaws — defense-in-depth requires multiple complementary tools.

Q18. [Analyze] Why are cross-chain bridge exploits typically larger in dollar terms than single-protocol exploits?

A) Bridges charge higher fees B) Bridges are newer and less audited C) Bridges hold pooled assets from multiple chains; a single vulnerability can drain all connected networks simultaneously D) Bridge contracts cannot be upgraded

Answer: C Bridges concentrate cross-chain liquidity into one target — Ronin (\$625M), Wormhole (\$320M), and Nomad (\$190M) all followed this pattern.

Q19. [Evaluate] Protocol A has 3 audits, a \$5M bug bounty, formal verification, and a 48-hour timelock. Protocol B has 1 audit and \$500M TVL. Which has a stronger security posture?

A) Protocol B – TVL proves trust B) They are equal C) Protocol A – multiple audits, formal verification, bug bounty, and timelocks represent defense in depth D) Protocol B – one reputable audit is sufficient

Answer: C Protocol A demonstrates defense in depth; Protocol B's high TVL is a target, not a security property, and a single audit is insufficient assurance.

Q20. [Evaluate] An L2 protocol uses a single centralized sequencer, has no fraud proof mechanism deployed, and holds \$2B in TVL. What is your risk assessment?

A) Low risk – L2s are safer than L1 B) Medium risk – centralization is acceptable early C) High risk – centralized sequencer, absent fraud proofs, and \$2B TVL combine into critical unmitigated risk D) Low risk – \$2B TVL shows market confidence

Questions 16–20: Bridges, Audits & Risk Assessment

Q16. [Analyze] The Ronin Bridge used a 9-validator multisig, and the attacker compromised 5 validators to authorize a \$625M drain. What percentage of validators did the attacker need to control?

A) 33% B) 44% C) 56% D) 67%

Answer: C 56% ($5/9 \approx 55.6\%$) — a simple majority threshold made it vulnerable to social engineering of just 5 individuals.

Q17. [Apply] A security team runs Slither on a contract and finds zero issues. Should the team deploy to mainnet immediately?

A) Yes – zero findings means secure B) Yes – static analysis is the gold standard C) No – Slither has limited coverage; a full audit requires fuzzing, formal verification, and manual review
D) No – only formal verification can certify safety

Answer: C Slither catches known patterns quickly but misses logic bugs and economic design flaws — defense-in-depth requires multiple complementary tools.

Q18. [Analyze] Why are cross-chain bridge exploits typically larger in dollar terms than single-protocol exploits?

A) Bridges charge higher fees B) Bridges are newer and less audited C) Bridges hold pooled assets from multiple chains; a single vulnerability can drain all connected networks simultaneously D) Bridge contracts cannot be upgraded

Answer: C Bridges concentrate cross-chain liquidity into one target — Ronin (\$625M), Wormhole (\$320M), and Nomad (\$190M) all followed this pattern.

Q19. [Evaluate] Protocol A has 3 audits, a \$5M bug bounty, formal verification, and a 48-hour timelock. Protocol B has 1 audit and \$500M TVL. Which has a stronger security posture?

A) Protocol B – TVL proves trust B) They are equal C) Protocol A – multiple audits, formal verification, bug bounty, and timelocks represent defense in depth D) Protocol B – one reputable audit is sufficient

Answer: C Protocol A demonstrates defense in depth; Protocol B's high TVL is a target, not a security property, and a single audit is insufficient assurance.

Q20. [Evaluate] An L2 protocol uses a single centralized sequencer, has no fraud proof mechanism deployed, and holds \$2B in TVL. What is your risk assessment?

A) Low risk – L2s are safer than L1 B) Medium risk – centralization is acceptable early C) High risk – centralized sequencer, absent fraud proofs, and \$2B TVL combine into critical unmitigated risk D) Low risk – \$2B TVL shows market confidence

Answer: C A centralized sequencer is a single point of failure, absent fraud proofs leave users unable to verify validity, and \$2B TVL makes it a prime target — security theater, not genuine guarantees.